

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/730,598	BORENSTEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	PAUL DANNEMAN	3627	

All Participants:

(1) PAUL DANNEMAN

(2) Robert Voigt, Reg. # 47,159.

Date of Interview: 22 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

101

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Informed Applicant's representative of a 35 USC 101 issue with Claim 1. I agreed to enter an Examiner's Amendment with Applicant's submitted wording.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/F. Ryan Zecender/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627

Status of Application: Appeal Brief filed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1453 hrs EST

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)